

# Table of Contents

**Acronyms & Abbreviations** ..... vi

**Executive Summary** ..... ES-1

**Chapter 1: Purpose and Need**

1.1 Introduction ..... 1-1

1.2 Study Area ..... 1-2

1.3 Project Purpose ..... 1-2

1.4 Statement of Project Need ..... 1-2

1.5 Project History ..... 1-3

**Chapter 2: Alternatives**

2.1 Development of Alternatives ..... 2-1

2.2 No-Action Alternative ..... 2-1

2.3 Enhanced Bus Alternative ..... 2-1

2.4 Selection of the Preferred Alternative ..... 2-2

2.5 The Preferred Alternative ..... 2-3

**Chapter 3: Affected Environment & Environmental Consequences**

3.1 Introduction ..... 3-1

3.2 Economic ..... 3-7

3.3 Community Character and Cohesion ..... 3-10

3.4 Environmental Justice ..... 3-11

3.5 Land Use and Zoning ..... 3-16

3.6 Pedestrians and Cyclists ..... 3-18

3.7 Land Acquisition, Displacements, and Relocations of Existing Uses ..... 3-21

3.8 Historic Resources ..... 3-22

3.9 Paleontological Resources ..... 3-27

3.10 Visual Quality ..... 3-28

3.11 Parks and Recreation Resources ..... 3-30

3.12 Section 4(f) Evaluation ..... 3-35

3.13 Air Quality ..... 3-39

3.14 Noise ..... 3-43

3.15 Biological Resources ..... 3-48

3.16 Water Resources ..... 3-55

3.17 Hazardous Materials and Hazardous Waste ..... 3-63

3.18 Utilities ..... 3-65

3.19 Energy and Mineral Resources ..... 3-68

3.20 Public Safety and Security ..... 3-69

3.21 Traffic and Transportation ..... 3-71

3.22 Parking ..... 3-77

3.23 Construction Impacts ..... 3-78

3.24 Cumulative Impacts ..... 3-83

**Chapter 4: Public and Agency Coordination**

4.1 Outreach and Coordination ..... 4-1

4.2 Agency Coordination ..... 4-7

4.3 Next Steps ..... 4-9

**List of Tables**

Table ES-1: Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation  
of the Preferred Alternative..... ES-10

Table 2.4-1: BYU Alignment Options..... 2-3

Table 3.1-1: Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation of the Preferred Alternative  
..... 3-1

Table 3.2-1: Projected Population and Employment in Provo and Orem..... 3-8

Table 3.4-1: 2010 Poverty Thresholds..... 3-12

Table 3.4-2: UTA Service Area Population by Race or Ethnicity ..... 3-12

Table 3.4-3: Preferred Alternative Connections to Local Bus Routes ..... 3-15

Table 3.6-1: Relevant *Orem Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan* Proposals ..... 3-19

Table 3.6-2: Relevant *Provo Bicycle Master Plan* Proposals..... 3-19

Table 3.6-3: Pedestrian and Bike Path Improvements ..... 3-20

Table 3.8-1: Archaeological and Linear Historic Properties within the APE ..... 3-24

Table 3.8-2: NRHP-Listed Properties and Districts within the APE..... 3-24

Table 3.8-3: NRHP-Eligible Historic Buildings Affected by the Preferred Alternative ..... 3-26

Table 3.8-4: NRHP-Eligible Archaeological, Linear Historic Properties and Historic Districts –  
Preferred Alternative Finding of Effect ..... 3-27

Table 3.11-1: Existing Parks and Recreation Resources ..... 3-31

Table 3.11-2: Planned Recreation Resources ..... 3-33

Table 3.11-3: Impacts to Existing and Proposed Recreation Resources ..... 3-34

Table 3.13-1: Predicted Maximum One-Hour CO Concentrations at Selected Intersections  
..... 3-40

Table 3.13-2: Predicted Maximum Eight-Hour CO Concentrations at Selected Intersections  
..... 3-41

Table 3.14-1: Results of the Baseline Noise Monitoring Program ..... 3-45

Table 3.14-2: Overall Project-Related Noise Impacts under the Preferred Alternative..... 3-46

Table 3.14-3: Noise Barriers Evaluated to Mitigate Impacts under the Preferred Alternative  
..... 3-48

Table 3.16-1: Beneficial Use Assessment ..... 3-57

Table 3.16-2: Public Drinking Water Wells within One-Half Mile of Alternatives ..... 3-58

Table 3.16-3: Wells within Groundwater Study Area..... 3-58

Table 3.16-4: Proposed Drainage ..... 3-60

Table 3.16-5: Permits and Approvals ..... 3-63

Table 3.17-1: Hazardous Materials Sites with Potential for Impacts ..... 3-64

Table 3.18-1: Utilities within the Study Area ..... 3-65

Table 3.18-2: Level of Utility Impact ..... 3-67

Table 3.20-1: Schools within the Study Area..... 3-70

Table 3.21-1: Operational Analysis Tools and Uses..... 3-71

Table 3.21-2: Proposed TSP Intersections ..... 3-73

Table 3.21-3: Bus Route 830 Eliminated Bus Stops..... 3-75

Table 3.22-1: Parking Summary ..... 3-78

Table 4.1-1: Cooperating and Participating Agencies..... 4-1

Table 4.1-2: Summary of Stakeholder Working Group Meetings..... 4-4

Table 4.1-3: Summary of Provo City Council and Community Leader Meetings ..... 4-5

Table 4.1-4: Summary of Orem City Council Meeting ..... 4-6

Table 4.1-5: Target Group and Individual Meetings..... 4-6

Table 4.2-1: Interagency and Utility Agreements..... 4-8

Table 4.3-1: Public Review Locations..... 4-9

Table 4.3-2: Agency Distribution..... 4-9

**List of Figures**

Figure ES-1: Preferred Alternative ..... ES-3

Figure ES-2: University Parkway Cross Section: 400 West to 800 East ..... ES-4

Figure ES-3: University Parkway Cross Section: 800 East to University Avenue..... ES-4

Figure ES-4: 900 East Cross Section ..... ES-4

Figure ES-5: 700 North Cross Section ..... ES-4

Figure ES-6: 300 North Station Rendering (Provo) ..... ES-6

Figure ES-7: Center Street Station Rendering (Provo)..... ES-6

Figure ES-8: Perspective Rendering of University Avenue ..... ES-7

Figure ES-9: Center Station Plan View Rendering (Provo)..... ES-7

Figure ES-10: Side Station Plan View Rendering ..... ES-8

Figure ES-11: Side Station Visualization ..... ES-8

Figure 1-1: Study Area Existing Conditions ..... 1-5

Figure 2.5-1: Preferred Alternative..... 2-23

Figure 2.5-2: Side Station Visualization ..... 2-5

Figure 2.5-3: University Parkway Cross Section: 400 West to 800 East..... 2-6

Figure 2.5-4: University Parkway Cross Section: 800 East to University Avenue ..... 2-7

Figure 2.5-5: 700 North Cross Section ..... 2-9

Figure 2.5-6: Side Station Plan View Rendering..... 2-10

Figure 2.5-7: 900 East Cross Section ..... 2-11

Figure 2.5-8: 300 North Station Rendering (Provo)..... 2-14

Figure 2.5-9: Center Street Station Rendering (Provo) ..... 2-14

Figure 2.5-10: Perspective Rendering of University Avenue..... 2-14

Figure 2.5-11: Center Station Plan View Rendering (Provo) ..... 2-15

Figure 3.14-1: FTA Transit Noise Impact Criteria ..... 3-44

Figure 3.3-1: Provo-Orem Neighborhoods ..... 3-85

Figure 3.4-1: Local Bus Service ..... 3-86

Figure 3.4-2: Local Bus Service ..... 3-87

Figure 3.7-1: Potential Partial Acquisitions ..... 3-88

Figure 3.7-2: Potential Partial Acquisitions ..... 3-89

Figure 3.7-3: Potential Partial Acquisitions ..... 3-90

Figure 3.7-4: Potential Partial Acquisitions ..... 3-91

Figure 3.7-5: Potential Partial Acquisitions ..... 3-92

Figure 3.7-6: Potential Partial Acquisitions ..... 3-93

Figure 3.7-7: Potential Partial Acquisitions ..... 3-94

Figure 3.8-1: Historic Properties ..... 3-95

Figure 3.8-2: Historic Properties ..... 3-96

Figure 3.8-3: Historic Properties ..... 3-97

Figure 3.8-4: Historic Properties ..... 3-98

Figure 3.10-1: Viewpoints ..... 3-99

Figure 3.11-1: Parks and Recreation Resources ..... 3-100

Figure 3.14-2: Predicted Noise Impacts ..... 3-101

Figure 3.14-3: Predicted Noise Impacts ..... 3-102

Figure 3.14-4: Predicted Noise Impacts ..... 3-103

Figure 3.14-5: Predicted Noise Impacts ..... 3-104

Figure 3.16-1: Groundwater ..... 3-105

Figure 3.16-2: Surface Waters, Canals, and Floodplains ..... 3-106

**References****Appendices**

Appendix A: Proposed Design Map Book *[Note: Appendix A is separately bound. Please see accompanying document.]*

Appendix B: Traffic Analysis

Appendix C: Resolutions & Documentation of Support for the Preferred Alternative

Appendix D: Partial Acquisitions

Appendix E: Determination of Eligibility and Finding of Effect (DOE/FOE)

Appendix F: Coordination

Appendix G: Parking Study